Why ICER Matters to Clinicians & Patients with Severe Asthma

THE INSTITUTE FOR CLINICAL AND ECONOMIC REVIEW

- Employs health economists to decide if new drugs are "worth it"
- Influences health coverage and patient access, often negatively



- Depends largely on unrepresentative clinical trials data
- Relies on metrics that devalue people living with chronic conditions and disabilities
- Fails to capture
 quality-of-life factors
 that matter to patients



CLINICIANS AND PATIENTS WITH SEVERE ASTHMA • Want access to new and targeted treatments

- that could provide unprecedented relief

 Deserve a better chance
- of managing severe
 asthma and lowering
 health disparities
- Shouldn't have to fight for coverage of life-changing drugs



CLINICIANS AND PATIENTS SHOULD TELL ICER WHAT MATTERS TO THEM

